Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/721,265	YAMANOUCHI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Erma Cameron	1762
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Erma Cameron</u> .	(3)	•
(2) <u>Mark Boland</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 June 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		·
Claims discussed: all Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		·
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: 1. Claim 3 will be amended to correct a typo. 2. On 5/20/2005, Mark Boland elected composition claims 1-9 over method of coating claims 10-11 or method of making ink claim 12, without traverse. Applicant is now willing to cancel nonelected claims. These items will be done by examiner's amendment..